UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,858	04/28/2006	Shlomo Ruschin	27/281	4874	
Mark Friedman	7590 06/16/200	EXAMINER			
Bill Polkinghor		BOLDA, ERIC L			
9003 Florin Way Upper Malboro, MD 20772			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3663		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,858	RUSCHIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERIC BOLDA	3663		

	ERIC BOLDA	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		-					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria mally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.	ust prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	isideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	scied ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27,28,30-32,34,35,42,43,45-47,49 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>33 and 48</u> .	<u>50</u> .						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	hefore or on the date of filing a No	atice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Eric Bolda/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3663					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Amended claims 27 and 42 are rejected for the reasons that former claims 29 and 36 were rejected in the Final rejection of Jan. 24, 2008. Applicant argues that Yegnanarayanan et al. do not teach that doping of silicon with gold serves any purpose in achieving optical amplification. However, it would still be obvious to try the known composition of gold-doped silicon semicondcutor used in the optical device of Yegnanarayanan. Applicant has further raised the issue of the dopant being isoelectronic with atoms of the indirect gap semiconductor in Leheny. However, the Leheny reference was cited to show the other elements of the optical amplifier; i. e. pumping source.